Influence of jet flow on the aerodynamics of a floating model wind turbine

Levin Klein, Christoph Schulz, Thorsten Lutz, Ewald Krämer Institute of Aerodynamics and Gas Dynamics University of Stuttgart Germany klein@iag.uni-stuttgart.de

ISOPE-2016 Conference, Rhodes, Greece The 26th International Ocean and Polar Engineering Conference

Rhodes (Rodos), Greece, June 26–July 1, 2016: www.isope.org;







- Introduction
- Approach
- Results
- Summary and conclusion









INNWIND.EU Project (www.Innwind.eu)



"high performance innovative design of a beyond-state-ofthe-art 10-20MW offshore wind turbine"

Including:

- design of platforms for offshore installation
- validation of different simulation tools by model tests in wave tank







Introduction – experimental setup







Wind generator outlet size ~ rotor diameter

Simulations:

 Most aerodynamic models (like BEM) can't consider jet flow and use uniform inflow conditions



photo by École Centrale de Nantes, France

Is uniform inflow approach suitable?

 Approach needed that can consider jet flow as well as uniform inflow

 \rightarrow CFD





Main function:

provide realistic aerodynamic forces
→ thrust force

How?

- Froude scaled (1/60) + new design
- Iow Re airfoil (Re~45,000)
- matches thrust coefficient of full size turbine
- lower power coefficient





ISOPE-2016





FLOWer by DLR (German Aerospace Center)

- block structured finite volume solver
- 2nd order in time and space
- chimera technique (overlapping grids)
- extended at IAG for wind turbine simulation
 - atmospheric turbulence and complex terrain
 - load control (e.g. flaps)
 - fluid structure coupling
- experimental validation (e.g. MEXICO project) and code to code validation (e.g. AVATAR project)











Turbine mesh – overlapping meshes













uniform inflow (29M cells) jet flow (35M cells) wind generator outlet

only sections of grids shown







Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement No. 308974 (INNWIND.EU).

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's

Approach – CFD setup

- rated wind speed • 1.48 m/s corr. to 11.4 m/s
- 70.9 rpm
- fixed turbine (no floating motion)
- fully turbulent
- time step ~ 0.75° azimuth
- prescribed velocity at wind generator outlet











Approach – CFD setup

- rated wind speed 1.48 m/s corr. to 11.4 m/s
- 70.9 rpm
- fixed turbine (no floating motion)
- fully turbulent
- time step ~ 0.75° azimuth
- prescribed velocity at wind generator outlet











u[m/s] 1.5

0.5

n

uniform inflow jet flow

induction factor: 27.2%

induction factor: 27.8%



The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement No. 308974 (INNWIND.EU).





Rhodes

12



u[m/s] 1.5

1

0.5

0

uniform inflow jet flow

induction factor: 27.2%

induction factor: 27.8%



The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement No. 308974 (INNWIND.EU).





Rhodes

13

Results – velocity in rotor plane





induction factor: 27.2%



The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement No. 308974 (INNWIND.EU).





Results



velocity difference -9% Azimuth[°] 0 330 30 +2% +4% 2.7 XXO Fx[N/m] 0% 2.6 -1% 300 2. .5 2 4 +1%%01 -10% 0% ∃_{2.3} 270 -2% 200 240 +3% +3% 0% 210 Uniform 180 Jet -8%

spanwise thrust at r/R=90%

+ = higher in jet case



The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement No. 308974 (INNWIND.EU).





Rhodes

60

90

120

Results – Thrust







The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement No. 308974 (INNWIND.EU).







blade thrust

Rotor thrust



Average Thrust 0.7% lower for jet case







17

ISOPE-2016



Blade thrust

Rotor thrust









- CFD simulation of model wind turbine in uniform inflow and jet flow
- rotor thrust comparable, blade thrust different
- Is uniform inflow approach suitable?

Yes but, ...

- only if turbine is placed in the center of the jet (and at this position)
- influence might be higher when turbine is moving (floating motion)
- real jet might be less perfect then simulated one (inhomogeneous, turbulence)









Thank you!



Questions?





The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement No. 308974 (INNWIND.EU).

